§52.744

a revision to the Illinois State Implementation Plan (SIP). Illinois shall set forth alternative emissions monitoring and reporting requirements to satisfy the intent of 40 CFR part 51, appendix P whenever Illinois exempts any source subject to Section 201.401 from installing continuous emission monitoring systems. Illinois may exempt a source if the source cannot install a continuous emission monitoring system because of physical plant limitations or extreme economic reasons, according to the criteria of Section 201.402.

(b) As codified at 40 CFR 52.737 (USEPA's approval of the Illinois operating permit program for the purpose of issuing federally enforceable construction and operating permits), USEPA reserves the right to deem an operating permit not federally enforceable. Such a determination will be made according to appropriate procedures including operating permit requirements promulgated at 54 FR 27274 (June 28, 1989) and will be based upon either; the permit, permit approval procedures or state or local permit requirements which do not conform with the operating permit program requirements or the requirements of USEPA's underlying regulations. Among other things, underlying requirements include 40 CFR 51.214 and part 51, appendix P and Illinois' approved SIP, 40 CFR part 52. Should USEPA deem an operating or construction permit containing alternative monitoring requirements not federally enforceable, the underlying continuous monitoring requirements at Section 201.401 of the State rule would be the Federal requirements contained in the SIP to which the source would be subject. This interpretation of the impact of an operating permit deemed not federally enforceable by USEPA on a source to which it was issued was acknowledged by the State in a March 3, 1993, letter from Bharat Mathur, Chief, Bureau of Air, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, to Stephen Rothblatt, Chief, Regulation Development Branch, Region 5. USEPA.

[58 FR 17783, Apr. 6, 1993]

§ 52.744 Small business stationary source technical and environmental compliance assistance program.

The Illinois program submitted on November 12, 1992, as a requested revision to the Illinois State Implementation Plan satisfies the requirements of section 507 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

[58 FR 45451, Aug. 30, 1993]

\$52.745 Section 110(a)(2) infrastructure requirements.

(a) Approval. In a December 12, 2007 submittal, Illinois certified that the State has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (C), (D)(ii), (E) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for the prevention of significant deterioration as they pertain to section 110(a)(2)(C) and (J).

(b) Approval. In a December 12, 2007 submittal, Illinois certified that the State has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (C), (D)(ii), (E) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for the prevention of significant deterioration as they pertain to section 110(a)(2)(C) and (J).

(c) Approval and Disapproval—In an August 9, 2011, submittal, and supplemented on August 25, 2011, and June 27, 2012, Illinois certified that the State has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 2006 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. EPA is not taking action on (D)(i)(I) and the state board requirements of (E)(ii). Although EPA is disapproving portions of Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for this purpose as they pertain to (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), and (J).

(d) Approval and Disapproval—In a December 31, 2012, submittal, Illinois certified that the State has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 2008 lead (Pb) NAAQS. EPA is not taking action on

the state board requirements of (E)(ii). Although EPA is disapproving portions of Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for this purpose as they pertain to (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), and (J).

(e) Approval and Disapproval-In a December 31, 2012, submittal, Illinois certified that the State has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS except for 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). EPA is approving Illinois' submission addressing the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C) with respect to enforcement, (D)(i)(II) with respect to visibility protection, (D)(ii), (E) except for state board requirements, (F) through (H), (J) except for prevention of significant deterioration, and (K) through (M). EPA is disapproving Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, in (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) portion of (J). EPA is not taking action on the state board requirements of (E). Although EPA is disapproving portions of Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for this purpose as they pertain to (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) portion of (J).

(f) Approval and Disapproval-In a December 31, 2012, submittal, Illinois certified that the state has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 2010 nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) NAAQS. EPA is approving Illinois' submission addressing the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C) with respect to enforcement, (D)(i)(I), (D)(i)(II) with respect to visibility protection, (D)(ii), (E) except for state board requirements, (F) through (H), (J) except for prevention of significant deterioration, and (K) through (M). EPA is disapproving Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, in (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration

(PSD) portion of (J). EPA is not taking action on the state board requirements of (E). Although EPA is disapproving portions of Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for this purpose as they pertain to (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) portion of (J).

(g) Approval and Disapproval-In a December 31, 2012, submittal, Illinois certified that the state has satisfied the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A) through (H), and (J) through (M) for the 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS (SO₂)except for 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). EPA is approving Illinois' submission addressing the infrastructure SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C) with respect to enforcement, (D)(i)(II) with respect to visibility protection, (D)(ii), (E) except for state board requirements, (F) through (H), (J) except for prevention of significant deterioration, and (K) through (M). EPA is disapproving Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, in (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) portion of (J). EPA is not taking action on the state board requirements of (E). Although EPA is disapproving portions of Illinois' submission addressing the prevention of significant deterioration, Illinois continues to implement the Federally promulgated rules for this purpose as they pertain to (C), (D)(i)(II), and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) portion of (J).

[76 FR 41084, July 13, 2011. Redesignated at 77 FR 10334, Feb. 21, 2012, as amended at 77 FR 65486, Oct. 29, 2012. Redesignated at 77 FR 75384, Dec. 20, 2012; 79 FR 41442, July 16, 2014; 79 FR 62047, Oct. 16, 2014; 80 FR 29536, May 22, 2015]

Subpart P—Indiana

$\$\,52.769$ Identification of plan—conditional approval.

The plan revision commitment listed in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section were submitted on the dates specified.